PHILOSOPHY 244

PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

Spring 2019 TR, 9:40-10:55am @ <u>BEC-425</u>

Instructor: Alex Richardson (please call me "Alex") Email: aricha28@vols.utk.edu

COURSE DESCRIPTION

At some time or another, each of us has probably thought about what our obligations are as students or teachers, as children and parents, as citizens of a democracy, etc., In addition to these practical identities, professional occupations are important factors in how we structure our livelihoods, and often have equally important implications for our moral lives. This course will be an introductory look at the various types and instances of moral problems and obligations that are relevant to various professions to which students might find themselves contributing.

We'll begin by engaging with thinkers both classical and contemporary to build a conceptual toolkit of sorts for moral reasoning. In addition to some fundamental methodologies, we'll briefly study three primary traditions in the history of moral philosophy—consequentialism, deontology, and virtue ethics. Once we've established a strong groundwork with these skills and theories, we'll shift our focus toward some important and timely applied issues that implicate each of us as aspiring professionals. First, we'll take up questions about the nature of professionalism itself. Here, we'll consider what it means to be a good professional in various contexts, whether and how professionalization is morally, socially, and politically important, and what kinds of character virtues we might reasonably expect of professionals of different sorts. From there, we'll turn to some more specific moral questions about integrity, truth, and secrecy in the context of the workplace, taking up important and interrelated issues of lying, confidentiality, credibility, and more. Then, we'll turn to a set of tough questions which increasingly implicate modern professionals—those surrounding free speech and advocacy at work. Finally, we'll ask some normative questions about the functions of our workplaces themselves, and try to determine whether and how they should mirror the overarching social and political norms of the democratic society in which they operate.

This course is appropriate for all undergraduate students, and fulfills the Oral Communication (OC) portion of the University of Tennessee's <u>General Education Requirements</u>. There are no prerequisites for this course, and it presumes no prior or special disciplinary knowledge in philosophy. The course meets twice each week for 75 minutes. In terms of format, there will be some brief explanatory lecture on most days. However, since philosophy is a discipline best learned in practice, much of the course will be discussion-based, and will consist heavily of various in-class and out-of class activities done individually, in small groups, and with the entire class.

If you participate actively in class and approach readings, discussions, and assignments with attention and care, I suspect that you will grow as a thinker. If you take the knowledge you acquire in this course with you and apply it to your own life and projects, I hope you will flourish as a human being.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

Over the course of the semester, you will develop and be evaluated on five specific skills. Thus, as a result of taking this course, you should better be able to:

- formulate and ask philosophical questions of moral, political, and professional interest.
- analyze and evaluate moral and political arguments concerning important and sometimes contentious issues.
- read and understand dense and sometimes difficult texts, both historical and contemporary.
- critically reflect upon and contextualize your own ideas, beliefs, value judgments, and actions.
- clarify, communicate, and defend your ideas effectively, in writing, in collaboration with others, and orally for a general audience.

MATERIALS

There is no textbook required for purchase. All reading assignments for class will be made available in accessible formats (typically PDF). These and any supplementary materials (news clips, videos, podcasts, etc.) will be accessible via <u>Canvas</u>.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

In order to be successful in this course, you should do **all** of the following:

- **Read this syllabus in its entirety.** All students are responsible for a working knowledge of all information and policies contained in this document.
- Read all assigned materials in accordance with the course schedule (see below). You
 should complete your reading before class on the day materials are assigned, and bring
 texts with you to class each day. Typically, assigned readings will not exceed 50 pages per
 week, but do note that philosophy can often be dense, and reading it difficult—so be sure to
 leave yourself some time to read carefully and critically (and perhaps, sometimes, to read
 twice).
- Attend class regularly and participate in class meetings. You should do your best to attend every class, and to come prepared to contribute to daily discussions (by having read and thought about the assigned material, completed relevant homework assignments, etc.)
- Complete all class assignments by their designated due dates (see below). Late assignments will not be accepted, except in the most serious of circumstances. Any late assignment submissions ought to be arranged in advance where possible.
- Check UT email and Canvas regularly for course announcements. Communications will often be made this way in order to keep you informed about class happenings during the semester. Enabling push notifications or using the Canvas app is a good idea, to this end.

ASSIGNMENTS

"Truth or Dare" Homework (20%): Throughout the term, you will have many opportunities to complete various tasks for homework. By the last day of class, you should have submitted 10 homework assignments (there are 18 opportunities). For each homework assignment, you should complete a task of your choice, unless otherwise specified (some assignments will have more limited options than others). Homework tasks will be divided into two categories: (1) Philosophical "Truths," which will involve "unlocking" some or another philosophical conclusion about a reading assignment, for instance, by annotating the primary philosophical content of a text, by evaluating a central argument, by making a personal connection between key ideas and your own life, or by applying an argument or line of reasoning to a new issue or area of inquiry; and (2) Philosophical "Dares," which will involve applying key ideas from course material in your own lives in novel ways, and submitting evidence of and reflection on such applications (typically in writing). Please note that at least 3 of your homework submissions must be written argument evaluations, and you must undertake at least one dare during the course of the semester. A special award will go to the class's "Most Daring Philosopher(s)," who complete 5 or more philosophical dares during the semester. All those who receive this award are entitled to +5 extra credit points.

Case Study Project (20% total): Once during the semester, you will work together in small teams to consider and work through a topical case study of relevance to the issues we'll discuss in class, and then present your analysis to your classmates. Each case, which will be made available to the class in advance, will serve as a jumping off point for our in-class discussions on various issues throughout the semester. Teams will be randomly assigned, and sign-ups for a variety of cases and presentation dates will occur at the beginning of the semester. Your case study project has two components:

- 1. **Case Study Brief (10%)**: First, before class begins on the day of your presentation, your group should submit a short written brief (no longer than 800 words) on the case study you have selected. The brief should briefly lay out the parameters of the case, the central moral values in question, and your group's response to one or more included focal questions about the case.
- 2. Case Study Walkthrough (10%): Following the submission of your group's brief, you'll present a brief, 4-5 minute "walkthrough" of your case for the rest of the class. Your objective here should be to introduce your classmates to the case and its moral dimensions, as well as to summarize the position your team has taken in response. You should also be prepared to lead a short discussion of the case by fielding questions and comments from me and from classmates.

"Problems Across Professions" Project (40% total): Throughout the semester, you'll work toward a culminating project which focuses on a moral issue of particular interest to a member of your own chosen profession. Your final project will have three central components:

1. **Project Proposal (5%)**: To get you started on your project, you should submit a proposal of around 250 words by March 26. The aim should be to briefly explain the issue you've selected, as well as why it is important to a member of your chosen profession, and to outline an argumentative position you anticipate taking up in response to your chosen issue.

- 2. **Position Paper (15%)**: By April 21, you should submit a Position Paper of around 1,000 words outlining your chosen issue, analyzing its central moral dimensions and import, and staking out a normative position in the debate over the issue.
- 3. **Final Case Presentation (20%)**: Finally, near the end of the semester, you'll deliver an 8-10 minute oral presentation in class which outlines a case study demonstrating your issue and your position to your classmates, with the goal of persuading them of its plausibility. A full week of class at the end of the term (April 23-25) and our scheduled final exam period (April 30, 8-10am) will be reserved for blocks of student presentations, whose order will be determined via lottery.

Engaged Participation (20%+): Philosophical learning occurs best in collaboration and connection with others. For this reason, you *must* attend class regularly, having sufficiently prepared for discussion of any assigned readings or supplementary materials, and ready to participate in collaboration with your classmates. Regular physical attendance, while usually necessary for a good participation grade, is *not* sufficient on its own (see below for policy on class attendance). Thus, your participation will be evaluated based on the quality of your overall contribution to class discussions, small group activities, and the course as a whole. This being said, different students participate in different ways (e.g., speaking in class, responding to others' thoughts, small group work, visiting drop-in hours, asking questions one on one, etc.), and all of these methods will be taken into account. Additionally, I reserve the right to raise grades in borderline cases where a student has an exemplary participation record, though this is by no means a guarantee that grades will be rounded up as a matter of policy.

ASSIGNMENT SUBMISSION AND GRADING

All of your assignments should be turned in via Canvas by their designated due dates, and will be checked for originality upon their submission (see below for policy on academic integrity). Microsoft Word (.docx) or PDF format is preferred for ease of grading and feedback. Citations, if needed, can be in any recognized format, so long as they are legible. Late assignments will not be accepted, except in extenuating or extreme circumstances, which should be discussed with me well in advance wherever possible.

Written assignments will typically be graded based on a combination of their accuracy and charity with respect to the view they are engaging with, their argumentative rigor (if applicable), and the quality of your writing (composition, organization, etc.). Grading rubrics and detailed written feedback will be provided for each assignment. I will make every effort to return graded assignments to you within two weeks of their submission date. Often, grade turnaround will be quicker, but sometimes it may take a few days longer—if this is the case, you'll be notified in class.

Communications about grading, wherever possible, should be done in person, rather than via email. To understand your grades, consult any relevant assignment details, rubrics, etc. from Canvas, as well as my comments on your graded paper. If questions arise, come by during drop-in hours or set up an appointment, and we can discuss your grade.

I am also happy to speak with you about any grade complaints or appeals you might have. If you have such a complaint, you should follow a two-step process. First, you must wait at least 24 hours

from the time the assignment is returned to you. While you wait, look over your work and the comments I have provided and identify any discrepancies you wish to discuss. Second, you should submit a short, written statement, detailing the discrepancies between the work you have submitted and the grade you have received. These should be submitted and discussed with me within 7 days of getting your initial grade. Grade changes will not be considered without a written appeal, and grades are incontestable after 7 days.

This course will be graded on a 100-point scale, using the weights indicated above. For final grades, I will use a university standard +/- letter grading scale and standard conventions with respect to their meaning. Point gradations are defined as follows:

A 100-94	B 84-86	C 76-74	D 66-64
A- 93-90	B- 83-80	C- 73-70	D- 63-60
B+ 89-87	C+ 79-77	D+ 69-67	F < 60

CLASS POLICIES

Class Attendance: Student attendance is crucial to success in any course, but it is of particular importance in a class which depends heavily on the participation of its members. Attendance records will be taken promptly at the beginning of each class meeting—so, please make sure you arrive on time. Each student is allowed 3 unexcused absences with no questions asked. For each additional unexcused absence past the third, a point will be deducted from the student's final grade. Additionally, participation grades may be adversely affected by poor attendance. Of course, important reasons to miss class do occasionally arise, so excused absences (which will not count adversely) will be granted in serious circumstances (university business, religious holidays, significant illnesses, family emergencies, etc.). These ought to be discussed with me in advance when possible. In order for an absence to be excused, you may be asked to provide documentation of your absence in order to make up missed assignments.

Academic Integrity: All assignments for this course will be checked for originality upon their submission. At Tennessee, plagiarism is defined as "using the intellectual property of someone else without giving proper credit," whether intentional or otherwise. Any student found in violation of university policy will immediately receive a failing grade for the course, and may be subject to further disciplinary action at the institutional level. Please refer to the university honor statement and other accompanying <u>resources</u> to further familiarize yourself with UT's academic integrity policies.

Classroom Technology: The responsible use of laptops, tablets, and in some cases, smartphones for note-taking, class assignments, and research tasks is welcome in class. However, I do reserve the right to ask students to see their notes or work, and to discontinue their usage should it not be consistent with classroom purposes. Participation grades can and will be affected by violations of this policy, and repeated violations may result in being asked to leave class. Relatedly, student photography, as well as audio and video recording of lectures and class discussions is prohibited without prior and explicit permission of all parties involved (this includes Instagram and Snapchat stories).

Classroom and Discussion Climate: Reasonable disagreement and critical discussion on a variety of issues is a key hallmark of modern democratic society. Thus, a free exchange of ideas and perspectives is absolutely crucial in the university classroom as well. I fully expect and welcome vigorous disagreements in this class, especially given the somewhat sensitive subject matter we'll often engage with. With that being said, please be mindful of some important constraints on our discussions: Be thoughtful and courteous. Respect your classmates by listening to what they have to say, and make an effort to respond to issues raised by those who spoke before you rather than simply waiting your turn to give your opinion and consider your obligations for the day fulfilled. Please also be conscious of the relative balance of contributions in class—if you've spoken a lot, make an effort to cede the floor to less vocal classmates who might be waiting to enter the conversation. I will make every possible effort to maintain a collaborative atmosphere for inquiry and learning—I only ask that you do the same with respect to your classmates. To this end, I consider my classroom and office inclusive spaces for all students. No one should feel unwelcome, undervalued, or unsafe on the bases of their race, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, cultural background, religious belief, age, wealth, or physical/mental ability. I take this policy very seriously, and strive to provide a class environment that is based on full recognition and mutual respect for all who enter. Student compliance with this policy is expected, and harassing speech or action will not be tolerated. For more information, see UT's policy on Civility and Community.

Accessibility: We all learn and work in different ways, and accordingly, I strive to make my courses as widely accessible as possible. Any student who may need special classroom or assignment accommodations based on the impact of a disability, chronic illness, mental health concern, etc. is encouraged to meet with me to discuss their specific needs. Additionally, students seeking disability accommodations may contact Student Disability Services (SDS) at (865) 974-6087 or sds@utk.edu to document their eligibility for institutional accommodation services.

RESOURCES FOR STUDENTS

Communications and Drop-In Hours: The best way to get ahold of me in a pinch is via email, and many brief questions or concerns are ideally addressed this way. I'll typically respond to student emails within 24 hours (holidays excepted). To ensure a prompt reply, please include "PHIL 244" in the subject line of your email. For any and all more substantive concerns and questions pertaining to the course, students are encouraged to visit my weekly drop-in hours. In addition to regularly scheduled times each week, I am available to meet with students via appointment (either in person or virtually via Skype or Google Hangouts). To request an appointment, send me an email, and we will find a time that accommodates all parties involved. I am happy to provide whatever assistance I can to make sure you are successful in class, be it discussing your assignments and grades with you in detail (as above, I prefer not to discuss grades via email except in extreme cases), working through assignments and arguments with you one-on-one, etc. I am here to help. All this being said, please remember that I am indeed a human with as many competing priorities as any other, and that I require some advance notice for appointment requests.

Philosophy Department Resources: The UT Philosophy Department's <u>website</u> offers a variety of resources helpful to those studying philosophy, as well as information about our degree programs and other opportunities for undergraduates—including our annual scholarship awards, essay contest, and the UT Philosophy Club (all of which are open to non-majors). You are, of course,

encouraged to check out these opportunities and consider taking advantage of them! Also, check out the Philosophy Department's profiles on <u>Facebook</u>, <u>Twitter</u>, and <u>Instagram</u> for more information about departmental programming, events, and opportunities!

UT Student Success Center: The Student Success Center is a valuable resource for UT students, providing academic coaching on matters like time management and study tips, as well as general student support at any time in the semester. From the center's <u>website</u>: "Through academic support programs such as tutoring, supplemental instruction, academic coaching, and other educational enhancement programs, as well as our website and referral to the university's other excellent curricular and co-curricular resources, the staff promotes undergraduate student excellence and persistence to graduation."

UT Writing Center: In addition to using class resources and my drop-in hours to your advantage, the Writing Center can provide extra individualized help with written assignments. From the center's website: "The writing center serves student writers in all disciplines of the UT academic community by offering free and individualized help throughout the writing process. Thousands of students visit the Writing Center each year from all types of courses on campus. Trained tutors (graduate students and lecturers) read and discuss student writing in one-to-one conversations and offer constructive feedback. We teach students how to think about their written work from the brainstorming stage to final revisions. We work with writers on a walk-in, first-come, first-served basis."

COURSE SCHEDULE ¹				
R, 1/10: First Class Meeting (Canceled for APA Eastern Division Meeting)				
Course Syllabus / First Day Questionnaire				
1. A Toolkit for Moral Reasoning				
T, 1/15: Logic and Argumentation	R, 1/17: Reading Moral Philosophy			
Alex Richardson, "Learning to Argue Well"	Alex Richardson, "A Brief Guide to Active Reading in Philosophy"			
	Peter Singer, "The Obligation to Assist"			
T, 1/22: Moral Principles and Intuitions	R, 1/24: Is Morality "Real?"			
Norman Daniels, "The Method of Reflective Equilibrium" from <i>The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy</i>	James Rachels, "The Challenge of Cultural Relativism"			
"Driverless Dilemma" (from RadioLab)	"Metaethics" (from Crash Course on YouTube)			
T, 1/29: Class cancelled for snow	R, 1/31: Classical Utilitarianism			
	John Stuart Mill, "What Utilitarianism Is" (from <i>Utilitarianism</i>)			
T, 2/5: Kantian Deontology	R, 2/7: Aristotle's Virtue Ethics			
Immanuel Kant, excerpts from <i>Groundwork for</i> the Metaphysics of Morals	Aristotle, <i>Nicomachean Ethics</i> , Book II			
T, 2/12: Using Your New Toolkit				
Classroom Ethics Bowl Instructions				
2. What makes a (good) professional?				
	R, 2/14: Samuel Gorovitz, "Good Doctors"			
	Case Study: <u>"Prescription Kickbacks"</u>			
T, 2/19: David Luban, "Lawyers as Upholders of Human Dignity"	R, 2/21: Bernard Williams, "Politics and Moral Character			
Case Study: <u>"Sext Parte"</u>	Case Study: <u>"Razing Kane"</u>			
3. Integrity, Trust, and Secrecy				

¹ Materials listed in this section are required unless noted as optional, and assignment due dates are indicated in bold. I'll typically highlight what is up next on the schedule in yellow. I reserve the right to make changes to the reading and assignment schedule as needed. In the case of any changes, you'll be notified in advance in class and on Canvas, where an updated version of the schedule will always be posted.

T, 2/26: Sissela Bok, "Lies to the Sick and Dying"	R, 2/28: Class canceled			
Case Study: "Lying at the Bedside"				
T, 3/5: Sissela Bok, "The Limits of Confidentiality"	R, 3/7: Professional Roundtable on Trust, Integrity and Secrecy			
Case Study: <u>"Threat Assessment"</u>	Kyley Barton, LMSW, CHI Memorial Hospital			
	Savannah Oliver, MSN, Parkridge Medical Center			
	Jeffrey Pannekoek, Ethicist, UT Medical Center			
	Andrew Fels, Instructor, LMU School of Law			
T, 3/12: William Harwood, "Secrecy, Transparency, and Government	R, 3/14: Carrie Figdor, "Trust Me: News, Credibility Deficits, and Balance"			
Whistleblowing"	Case Study: <u>"Alternative Facts"</u>			
Case Study: <u>"Reality Loser"</u>	Optional Reading: <u>Regina Rini, "How to Fix Fake</u> <u>News" (from <i>The New York Times</i>)</u>			
M-F, 3/18-22: Spring Break				
4. Free Speech and Advocacy in Professional Life				
T, 3/26: Joel Kupperman, "Autonomy and the Very Limited Role of Advocacy in the Classroom"	R, 3/28: [Project Proposal Workshop]			
Case Study: "A Right to Recommendation?"				
[Project Proposals Due]				
T, 4/2: Susan Brison, "The Autonomy Defense of	R, 4/4: <u>"The Hate Debate" (from More Perfect)</u>			
Free Speech" Case Study: <u>"Welcome to Harvard!"</u>	Case Study: <u>"Dox Populi"</u>			
5. Building Democratic Workplaces				
T, 4/9: Iris Marion Young, "Five Faces of Oppression"	R, 4/11: Elizabeth Anderson, "Private Government"			
	Case Study: <u>"Amazon Sub-Prime"</u>			
T, 4/16: Martha Nussbaum, "Objectification," pp. 249-265	R, 4/18: Amy Gutmann, "Must Public Policy Be Color Blind?" (from <i>Color Conscious</i>)			
Case Study: <u>"Foul on the Field"</u>	Case Study: <u>"Don't Take It Personally"</u>			

Final Student Presentations (<u>Full Schedule</u>)			
[Position Paper Due 4/21, by 11:59pm]			
T, 4/23: Presentation Block 1	R, 4/25: Presentation Block 2		
T, 4/30, 8-10am: Presentation Block 3 (Final Exam Period)			